

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>H04Q 7/20</b>		A1	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 98/14018</b> (43) International Publication Date: <b>2 April 1998 (02.04.98)</b>
<p>(21) International Application Number: <b>PCT/US97/17786</b></p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: <b>29 September 1997 (29.09.97)</b></p> <p>(30) Priority Data: <b>60/027,453 27 September 1996 (27.09.96) US</b></p> <p>(71) Applicant: <b>RUTGERS UNIVERSITY (US/US); Office of Corporate Liaison &amp; Technology Transfer, Administrative Services Building, Annex II, Piscataway, NJ 08854-1179 (US).</b></p> <p>(72) Inventors: <b>WYLIE, Marilynn, P.; 1621 North Robinson Road, Philadelphia, PA 19151 (US). HOLTZMAN, Jack, M.; Apartment 2A, 10 West Court, Westfield, NJ 07090 (US).</b></p> <p>(74) Agent: <b>McKAY, Diane, Dunn; Mathews, Collins, Shepherd &amp; Gould, Suite 306, 100 Thanet Circle, Princeton, NJ 08540 (US).</b></p>		<p>(81) Designated States: <b>AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</b></p> <p><b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>	
<p>(54) Title: <b>METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MOBILE LOCATION ESTIMATION</b></p> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>The present invention relates to a method and system for mobile location estimation in which range measurements between the mobile station and a base station are discriminated as being either from a base station which is line of sight with the mobile station or a base station that is non-line of sight with the mobile station (22). Non-line of sight error present in range measurements from base stations that are non-line of sight with the mobile station is corrected (24). A reconstructed line of sight range measurement is established from the correction of the non-line of sight error. The reconstructed line of sight range measurements can be used with range measurements of base stations determined to be line of sight for accurately locating the mobile station (26).</p> <p>20</p> <p>21 Obtain range measurements</p> <p>22 IDENTIFY IF BASE STATION IS LOS OR NLOS</p> <p>24 CORRECT NLOS RANGE MEASUREMENT TO RECONSTRUCT AS AN LOS</p> <p>26 DETERMINE MOBILE LOCATION ESTIMATION</p> <p>NLOS</p> <p>FOR 1...M</p> <p>RECONSTRUCTED LOS</p> <p>LOS</p>			

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

## METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MOBILE LOCATION ESTIMATION

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/027,453 entitled Non-Line Of Sight Problem in Mobile Location Estimation filed by Applicants on September 27, 1996 hereby incorporated by reference into this application.

Background of the Invention1. Field of the Invention:

The present invention relates to a method and system for mobile station location estimation in which base stations that are in line of sight of the mobile station and base stations that are not in the line of sight of the base station can be determined. Errors in base station signals generated from determined non-line of sight base stations are reduced for providing improved mobile station location estimation.

2. Description of the Related Art

Mobile location estimation determines a geographical estimate of the location of a mobile station. Mobile location estimation is useful in management of fleets of mobile stations, location dependent information services, location dependent billing services and Emergency 911 location of a mobile station. Enhanced 911 is designed to automatically forward the number of a caller to a public safety answering point (PSAP). In implementing enhanced 911 in a wireless network, wireless service providers provide two dimensional location of the vehicle to the public safety answering point (PSAP). The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has regulated by the year 2001 that wireless service

5 providers have the capability of locating callers in two dimensions within 125 meters 67% of the time.

One conventional method for locating a mobile station in two dimensions would use the measurement of the line of sight distance between the mobile station and at least three base stations. U.S. Patent No. 5,365,516 describes a method for determining the location of a 10 transponder unit in which a radio signal is sent by the mobile station. The arrival time of the radio signal is measured at each of three base stations. Each distance measurement between the mobile station and one of the base stations can be used to generate a circle which is centered at the measuring base station. The circle has a radius which is equal to the distance between the mobile station and the base station. Accordingly, three circles are generated, one 15 for each of the base stations. In the absence of any measurement error of the distance between the base stations and the mobile station, the intersection of the three circles unambiguously determines the location of the mobile station. This method has the drawback that the distance measurements can be corrupted by noise resulting in errors in determining the location of the mobile station.

20 A conventional solution for providing more accurate position estimates is to reduce the error due to noise with a least squares analysis. Accordingly, the least squares analysis provides a more accurate position estimate. This solution has the limitation of not accounting for the possibility of a lack of a direct path between the base station and the mobile station. For example, in an urban environment, a building or buildings may be in the path between the 25 mobile station and the base station. A propagating signal between the mobile station and the base station can be reflected and defracted by the object in the path of the mobile station to the base station resulting in the signal traveling excess path lengths. The excess path lengths can be on the order of a hundred meters.

5        The lack of direct path between the mobile station and the base station can be defined as a non-line of sight (NLOS). The importance of detecting and reducing the NLOS measurements between a mobile station and a base station is recognized in M.I. Silventoinen, et al., "Mobile Station Locating in GSM", IEEE Wireless Communication System Symposium, Long Island NY, November 1995 and J.L. Caffrey et al., "Radio Location in Urban CDMA

10      10      Microcells", Proceedings of the Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Environment, 1995.

U.S. Patent No. 5,365,516 ('516 patent) describes an embodiment of a transceiver locating system operating in an environment susceptible to multipath interference. The system includes a transponder which is operable within a prescribed coverage area to transmit a burst of data symbols in a coded carrier pulse. Each base station includes a receiver for detecting and responding to the data symbol at a given time, interrupting the data symbol and rejecting echoes resulting from multipath interference. A comparison circuit responds to the receiver for comparing respectively identified given times and decorrelating the time difference to improve data quality. Although the '516 patent addresses multipath interference, it does not attempt to detect base stations for reducing multipath NLOS with mobile stations.

20      It is desirable to provide a method and system for providing improved mobile location estimation which is robust to NLOS error.

#### Summary of the Invention

Briefly described, the present invention relates to a method and a system for mobile location estimation in which base stations are identified to be either line of sight (LOS) or non-line of sight (NLOS) with a mobile station. A range measurement is determined as the distance between the base station and the mobile station. NLOS ranging error is corrected for base stations identified to be NLOS with the mobile station by reconstructing the LOS

5 measurement. From the range measurements of base stations identified as LOS and the reconstructed LOS range measurements the location of the mobile station is estimated.

The base station can be identified as being NLOS by comparing the standard deviation of standard measurement noise from the environment to the standard deviation of a smoothed range measurement obtained from the range measurements between the base station and  
10 mobile station. The smoothed range measurement can be obtained using an  $N^{\text{th}}$  order polynomial fit. It has been found that when the standard deviation of the smoothed range measurement is on the order of the standard deviation of the standard measurement noise, the base station corresponds to an LOS environment and when the standard deviation of the smoothed range measurement is greater than the standard deviation due to standard  
15 measurement noise, the base station corresponds to an NLOS environment. Alternatively, the residuals from a least squares analysis can be used to determine the presence of NLOS range measurements.

NLOS error can be corrected when the standard measurement noise dominates the NLOS error and there is predetermined identification of the approximate support of the  
20 standard measurement noise over the real axis. A reconstructed LOS range measurement can be determined by graphing a curve of the smoothed range measurements. The point of maximum deviation of the smoothed range measurement below the curve is determined. The curve is displaced downwards to pass through the point of maximum deviation. Thereafter, the curve is displaced upwards by the value of the maximum standard measurement noise  
25 deviation from an LOS measurement with negligible noise, thereby providing a reconstructed range measurement.

The mobile location estimation can be determined using at least three range measurements between LOS base stations and the mobile station or reconstructed LOS range

5    measurements in a multilateration analysis. In this analysis, a circle is generated from each range measurement. The circle is centered at the base station and the range measurement is the radius of the circle. The estimated intersection of the three circles determines the location of the mobile station. Alternatively, two range measurements and information directed to the position angle of the mobile station can be used for estimating the location of the mobile  
10    station.

The present invention has the advantages of accurately determining the location of a mobile station by reducing NLOS error. In addition, the present invention can provide confidence in an LOS environment that all base stations are LOS with the mobile station. Results indicate that position range bias due to NLOS error can be reduced several orders of  
15    magnitude with the method of the present invention.

The present invention will be more fully described by reference to the following drawings.

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

20    Fig. 1A is a schematic diagram of an environment in which there is an unobstructed line of sight radio signal path between a mobile station and a base station.

Fig. 1B is a schematic diagram of an environment in which there is a non-line of sight radio signal path between a mobile station and a base station.

25    Fig. 2 is a flow diagram of the system and method for mobile location estimation in accordance with the teachings of the present invention.

Fig. 3 is a schematic diagram of distance measurements of a reconstructed line of sight base station and determined line of sight base stations.

5        Fig. 4 is a flow diagram of a method for identifying non-line of sight base stations of  
the present invention.

Fig. 5 is a flow diagram of an alternate method for identifying non-line of sight base  
stations.

10      Fig. 6 is a flow diagram of a method for reconstructing a line of sight base station for  
non-line of sight measurements.

Fig. 7 is a graph of a comparison of NLOS measurements and reconstructed LOS  
measurements.

Fig. 8 is a schematic diagram of a system for implementing the method of the present  
invention.

15      Fig. 9 is a schematic diagram of positioning of base stations used in examples of  
performance of the method of the present invention.

Fig. 10A is a graph of two dimensional tracking without non line of sight error  
detection and correction.

Fig. 10B is a graph of two dimensional tracking with non line of sight error detection  
20      and correction.

Fig. 11A is a graph of two dimensional tracking without non line of sight error  
detection and correction.

Fig. 11B is a graph of two dimensional tracking with non line of sight error detection  
and correction.

25      Fig. 12 is a graph of the fraction of time a base station was declared NLOS using the  
residual rank analysis method.

5

Detailed Description of the Present Invention

During the course of this description like numbers will be used to identify like elements according to the different figures which illustrate the invention.

Fig. 1A illustrates a schematic diagram of a line of sight (LOS) path 10 between a base station 12 and mobile station 14. Signal 13 can be transmitted from base station 12 to mobile 10 station 14 and returned from mobile station 14 to base station 12. Fig. 1B illustrates a schematic non-line of sight (NLOS) path 11 between base station 12 and mobile station 14. Building 15 is positioned between base station 12 and mobile station 14 resulting in reflection of signal 16. For example, signal 13 and signal 16 can be a radio signal.

A range measurement for measuring the distance between base station 12 and mobile 15 station 14 can be measured as the time it takes a signal sent between base station 12 and mobile station 14:

$$r = cT \quad (1)$$

in which the mobile station to base station range measurement is represented by  $r$ ,  $c$  represents the speed of light which is the same speed as the propagation of radio waves and  $T$  represents 20 the one-way travel time of the signal. A range measurement of the distance between mobile station 14 and base station 12 in Fig. 1A and 1B can be determined using equation (1) based on travel time of signal 13 and signal 16, respectively, between base station 12 and mobile station 14. The value of  $r$  generated from signal 16 is greater than the value of  $r$  generated for signal 13.

25 Fig. 2 is a flow diagram of the system and method of the present invention for mobile location estimation 20. In block 21, a range measurement is obtained between mobile station 14 and base station 12 using equation (1). In block 22, base station 12 is identified as being in line of sight (LOS) or non-line of sight (NLOS) with mobile station 14. Block 22 is repeated

- 5 for a plurality of base stations 12 positioned at different locations from mobile station 14. If base station 12 is identified to be LOS in block 22, the range measurement obtained from block 21 is forwarded to block 26. If base station 12 is identified to be NLOS in block 22, block 24 is implemented for reducing the error of the range measurement between base station 12 and mobile station 14, thereby rendering the range measurement between base station 12  
10 and mobile station 14 as a reconstructed LOS base station 13, as shown in Fig. 3.

In Fig. 3, base station 12 labeled BS1 has a range measurement labeled LOS RANGE 1 determined to be LOS. Base station 12 labeled BS2 has a range measurement labeled LOS RANGE 2 determined to be LOS. Base station 13 labeled BS3 has a range measurement labeled NLOS RANGE 3 determined to be NLOS. A range measurement for the 15 reconstructed LOS base station labeled RECONSTRUCTED RANGE 3 is forwarded to block 26. Range measurements from determined LOS base stations from block 22 labeled LOS RANGE 1, and LOS RANGE 2 are also forwarded to block 26. From the range measurements of the reconstructed LOS base stations or the determined LOS base stations, or a combination of range measurements of the reconstructed LOS the mobile location estimation 20 can be identified using a conventional multilateration technique, such as described in U.S. Patent No. 5,365,516, hereby incorporated by reference into this application. Alternatively, the mobile location estimation can be determined from time difference of arrival time measurements as the difference of propagation delays between the mobile station 14 and pairs of base stations 12. In this case, the position estimate is at the intersection of hyperbolas. The 25 number of base stations can be reduced below three if there is also angle of arrival information. These methods are described in T.S. Rappaport et al., "Position Location Using Wireless Communication On Highways Of the Future", IEEE Communications Magazine, October 1996.

5        One method for identifying if a base station is LOS or NLOS in block 22 is illustrated  
 in Fig. 4. In this method, the time history of range measurements between base station 12 and  
 mobile station 14 is combined with predetermined standard deviation from conventional  
 measurement noise in a radio signal environment.

10      The arrival time of signals sent from base station 12 to a mobile station 14 and  
 transponded back to the base station 12 can be converted to a range measurement, in block  
 30. The range measurement at the  $m^{\text{th}}$  base station at time  $t_i$  can be represented as:

$$r_m(t_i) = L_m(t_i) + n_m(t_i) + NLOS_m(t_i) \quad (2)$$

15      for  $m = 1, \dots, M$   $i = 0, \dots, K-1$ , wherein

$L_m(t_i)$  is the LOS distance between a mobile station and the  $m^{\text{th}}$  base station in two dimensions which is given by:

$$L_m(t_i) = |x(t_i) + j * y(t_i) - x_m - j * y_m|; \quad (3)$$

20       $x(t_i)$ ,  $y(t_i)$  and  $(x_m, y_m)$  are respectively the coordinates of the mobile station at time,  $t_i$ , and those of the  $m^{\text{th}}$  base station;  $n_m(t_i)$  represents conventional measurement noise such as additive white Gaussian measurement noise and  $NLOS_m(t_i)$  represents NLOS measurement error at time  $t_i$ ; and  $M$  is the total number of base stations; and  $K$  is the total number of time samples.

25      In block 30, an LOS range measurement with negligible noise is obtained for base station 12 in LOS with mobile station 14. The LOS range measurement can be obtained by physically measuring a range between base station 12 and mobile station 14 or can be obtained as a range measurement determined by equation (1) in a negligible noise environment. In block 31, a noisy range measurement is determined as a range measurement which is LOS  
 30      with a base station taken in a noisy environment. In block 32, the standard deviation of the noisy range measurement from the LOS measurement without noise is determined. Blocks 30,

5 31 and 32 can be predetermined before identifying base station 12 as either LOS or NLOS in  
 block 22. The standard deviation due to noise  $n_m(t)$  can be represented by  $\sigma_m$ .

In block 32, the range measurement obtained from block 21 is smoothed by modeling

$$r_m(t_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_m(n) t_i^n \quad (4)$$

and solving for the unknown coefficients,  $\{a_m(n)\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$  with a least squares technique. The  
 10 smoothed range measurement can be represented as:

$$s_m(t_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \hat{a}_m(n) t_i^n. \quad (5)$$

In block 34, the standard deviation of the smoothed range measurement from a noisy  
 range measurement (i.e., the residual) is determined. The standard deviation of the residual  
 from block 34 can be represented as  $\hat{\sigma}_m$  since  $\sigma_m^2 = E\{n_m^2(t)\}$ . The smoothed range  
 15 measurements along with the noisy range measurement can be used to determine standard  
 deviation  $\hat{\sigma}_m$  with the formulation of:

$$\hat{\sigma}_m = \sqrt{\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} (s_m(t_i) - r_m(t_i))^2} \quad (6)$$

From the value of the standard deviation,  $\hat{\sigma}_m$  and the standard deviation  $\sigma_m$ , the range  
 measurement can be determined as either the result of base station 12 being LOS or NLOS, in  
 20 block 36. When the range measurement has NLOS error, the value of the standard deviation  
 $\hat{\sigma}_m$  is significantly larger than the value of the standard deviation  $\sigma_m$ . Accordingly, range  
 measurement for base station 12 that is NLOS with mobile station 14 is determined when the  
 $\hat{\sigma}_m$  is greater than the standard deviation  $\sigma_m$ . A range measurement of base station 12 that is  
 LOS with mobile station 14 is determined when the standard deviation  $\hat{\sigma}_m$  is on the order of  
 25 the standard deviation  $\sigma_m$ .

5        Alternatively, a residual analysis ranking method can be used to identify a range measurement as being from a base station 13 NLOS with mobile station 14. Range measurements between mobile station 12 and base station 14 which have been obtained in block 21 are inputted to block 41. At each instance of time  $t_i$ , estimated coordinates  $\hat{x}_{LS}(t_i), \hat{y}_{LS}(t_i)$  of mobile station 14 are determined as least squares estimates in block 41.

10      The estimated coordinates

$\hat{x}_{LS}(t_i), \hat{y}_{LS}(t_i)$  are selected to minimize the formulation:

$$F_i = \sum_{m=1}^M (r_m(t_i) - \hat{L}_m(t_i))^2 \quad (7)$$

where  $\hat{L}_m(t_i) = |\hat{x}(t_i) - x_m + j \cdot \hat{y}(t_i) - j \cdot y_m|$ .

15      In block 41, a calculated range measurement is determined from the estimated coordinates. In block 42, a residual difference of the range measurement between mobile station 12 and base station 14 with the calculated range measurement is determined. The residual difference can be represented as:

$$e_m(t_i) = r_m(t_i) - \hat{L}_m(t_i) \quad (8)$$

20      In block 44, the number of times the residual difference of a range measurement to a base station 12 has the largest value in comparison to the residual difference determined for range measurements at other base stations is counted for each time instant  $t_i$ . It has been found that base stations having a range measurement between a base station NLOS with a mobile station have a significantly larger number of greatest absolute residual differences than the number of greatest absolute residual differences from other base stations. From the value 25 of the counted number of residual differences, base station 14 can be defined as a base station 12 that is a LOS or a base station 12 that is NLOS with mobile station 14.

5       Fig. 6 illustrates a method for correction of range measurements between a base  
station 12 that has been determined NLOS with mobile station 14 to reconstruct a LOS range  
measurement. Data related to the range measurements from block 21 are smoothed using an  
N<sup>th</sup> order polynomial fit described in block 32. The smooth range measurements are inputted  
to block 52. The maximum deviation below the smoothed curve due to NLOS error in  
10      determined in block 56. It has been found that NLOS error is a non-negative random variable  
which can be approximately represented in a real axis as follows:

$$0 \leq \text{NLOS}_m(t_i) \leq \beta_m$$

in which  $\beta_m$  is the maximum value of NLOS error. The standard measurement noise,  $n_m(t_i)$   
can be represented as a zero-mean random variable which can be approximately represented in  
15      a real axis as follows:  $-\alpha_m \leq n_m(t_i) \leq \alpha_m$ , so that in a range measurement in which there is also  
an NLOS error, the total noise component can be approximated represented over the real axis  
as follows:

$$-\alpha_m \leq n_m(t_i) + \text{NLOS}_m(t_i) \leq \beta_m - \alpha_m.$$

It has been found that the point of maximum deviation of the measured range below  
20      the smoothed curve is about  $\alpha_m$  below the LOS function represented as  $L_m(t_i)$ . In block 58,  
the smoothed curve is displaced mathematically downward to the point of maximum deviation.  
The smoothed curve is displaced mathematically upward by a value of the noise deviation  $\alpha_m$   
in block 60 to provide a reconstructed curve representing a reconstructed LOS base station.

Fig. 7 represents a graph of a comparison of simulated range measurements. Curve 90  
25      represents the true time range measurement between a base station 12 which is LOS with a  
mobile station 14. Curve 91 represents determined range measurements having NLOS error.  
Curve 92 represents a smoothed range measurement of block station 12 and mobile station 14

5 determined from block 30 of Fig. 4. Curve 93 represents base station 12 which is  
reconstructed LOS with the mobile station 14 from block 60 of Fig. 6.

Fig. 8 is a schematic diagram of a system 80 for implementing the method for mobile  
location estimation. System 80 includes base station server 81. Base station server 81 can be a  
computer located at base station 12 or networked thereto. Base station server 81  
10 communicates with base station 12 for requesting and receiving data related to range  
measurements of mobile station 14 and base station 12. Base station server 81 also collects  
information on range measurements between mobile station 14 and each of base stations 81A-  
81N. The information is reported to base station server 81 either by mobile station 14 or base  
station servers 81A-81N. The functions of modules shown in Figs. 4-6 which are coded with a  
15 standard programming language, such as C++ programming language. The coded modules can  
be executed by base station server 81.

Results for examples of mobile location estimates with system 80 are shown in Tables I  
- IV and Fig. 9 through Fig. 12. In all of the examples, the vehicle's position in the x-y plane  
at any is given by:

20

$$x(t) = x_0 + v_x t$$

$$y(t) = y_0 + v_y t$$

$x(t)$  represents the  $x$  - coordinate in  $x$  -  $y$  plane at time instant,  $t$ ,  
 $y(t)$  represents the  $y$  - coordinate in  $x$  -  $y$  plane at time instant,  $t$ ,  
 $x_0$  represents the initial  $x$  - coordinate,  
25  $y_0$  represents the initial  $y$  - coordinate,  
 $v_x$  represents the speed in  $x$  - direction,  
 $v_y$  represents the speed in  $y$  - direction.

5 The sampling period was chosen to be 0.5s and 200 samples were taken. The velocity remained constant at  $v_x = 9.7$  m/s and  $v_y = 16.8$  m/s. Base stations 12 were assigned to have NLOS or LOS range measurements. Standard deviation of the standard measurement noise was represented as a  $\sigma_m$  was 150m and  $B_m$  was chosen as 1300m. In each example three base stations 101, 102, 103 were used uniformly spaced around a circle of 5 kilometers and a fourth 10 base station 104 was located at the center of the circle, as shown in Fig. 9.

In a first example, base station 101 and base station 102 provide NLOS range measurements and base stations 103 and base station 104 provide LOS range measurements.

The standard deviation  $\hat{\sigma}_m$  (m) of the smoothed curve determined in Fig. 4 is shown in Table 1.

15

TABLE 1  
STANDARD DEVIATION OF MEASUREMENTS FROM SMOOTHED  
CURVE FOR 2 NLOS MEASUREMENTS

20

Base	NLOS	$\hat{\sigma}_m$ (m)
101	Yes	467.3
102	Yes	447.6
103	No	163.1
104	No	142.1

The results indicate base stations 101 and 102 have NLOS range measurements with a significantly larger standard deviation than base station 103 and base station 104 having a LOS range measurement.

Fig. 10A shows two-dimensional tracking error without NLOS identification and 25 correction Fig. 10B shows two dimensional tracking error after the method of mobile location

5 estimation of the present invention is performed. The results indicate improvement of estimated vehicle trajectory after NLOS identification and correction.

In a second example, base stations 101, 102, 103 and 104 have NLOS range measurements. The standard deviation  $\hat{\sigma}_m$  (m) of the smoothed curve determined in Fig. 4 is shown in Table 2.

10

15

TABLE 2  
STANDARD DEVIATION OF MEASUREMENTS FROM SMOOTHED  
CURVE FOR FOUR NLOS MEASUREMENTS

Base	NLOS	$\hat{\sigma}_m$ (m)
101	Yes	440.2
102	Yes	444.4
103	Yes	463.6
104	Yes	450.2

The results indicate a similar standard deviation  $\hat{\sigma}_m$  (m) for all four base stations 101, 102, 103 and 104 having NLOS.

In a third example, three results were determined using  $x_0 = -118.3$  m  $y_0 = -3.7$  m with 20 the residual analysis tracking method shown in Fig. 5. In test 1, base station 104 was NLOS.

In test 2, base station 103 and base station 104 are NLOS. In test 3, base station 102, base station 103 and base station 104 were non-line of sight. The number of times each base station had the largest absolute residual difference is shown in Table 3.

TABLE 3

## PERCENTAGE OF TIME BS HAD LARGEST RESIDUAL

TEST		BS101	BS102	BS103	BS104
1	LOS	10	11.	18.5	
	NLOS				60
2	LOS	18.5	15		
	NLOS			26.5	40
3	LOS	12.5			
	NLOS		20	40.5	27

The results indicate NLOS base stations having larger percentages of residual

10 differences.

In a fourth example, results of the method for location estimation at the present invention were compared with a conventional least square analysis, a least square analysis with all range measurements are line of sight and a conventional Cramer Rao Lower Bound analysis. The Cramer Rao Lower Bound represents a lower bound on the rms error of any 15 unbiased estimator. Table 4 represents the present method shown in column 2, the conventional least squares analysis shown in column 1, a least square analysis with all measurements LOS in column 3 and the conventional Cramer Rao Lower Bound analysis shown in column 4. The location and speed errors in each coordinates were measured in meters and meters/second respectively.

20

5

 $\mu_{xo}$  = mean error in estimating  $x_o$  $\sigma_{xo}$  = standard deviation of  $\hat{x}_o$  $\mu_{yo}$  = mean error in estimating  $y_o$  $\sigma_{yo}$  = standard deviation of  $\hat{y}_o$  $\mu_{vx}$  = mean error in estimating  $v_x$  $\sigma_{vo}$  = standard deviation of  $\hat{v}_x$  $\mu_{vy}$  = mean error in estimating  $v_y$  $\sigma_{vy}$  = standard deviation of  $\hat{v}_y$ 

10

TABLE 4

## COMPARISON OF ESTIMATOR PERFORMANCE

	LEAST SQUARES PRIOR ART METHOD	METHOD OF PRESENT INVENTION	LOS	$\sqrt{CRLB}$
$\mu_{xo}$	297.8	-3.98	0.17	--
$\sigma_{xo}$	32.9	28.30	16.42	15.88
$\mu_{yo}$	-306.1	-2.36	0.54	--
$\sigma_{yo}$	55.5	45.13	14.15	14.18
$\mu_{vx}$	0.18	-0.09	-0.005	--
$\sigma_{vx}$	0.55	0.49	0.27	0.27
$\mu_{vy}$	4.49	-0.01	-0.005	--
$\sigma_{vy}$	0.84	0.64	0.25	0.25

The results indicate that the mobile location estimation method of the present invention  
15 significantly reduced the estimation bias as compared to results without NLOS error  
correction.

Fig. 12 is a comparison of the probability of detecting an NLOS range measurement.  
The sampling period was 0.5 seconds. The number of samples varied between 5 and 150.  $X_o$   
was 200m and  $y_o$  was 100m. Base station 101 and base station 104 were LOS. Base station  
20 102 and base station 103 were NLOS. The results indicate NLOS can be detected with high  
probability for a small number of samples.

5        It is to be understood that the above-described embodiments are illustrative of only a few of the many possible specific embodiments which can represent applications of the principles of the invention. Numerous and varied other arrangements can be readily devised in accordance with these principles by those skilled in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

**We claim:**

1. A method for mobile station location estimation comprising the steps of:
  - a. obtaining range measurements between said mobile station and a base station;
  - b. identifying whether said base station is line of sight with said mobile station or non-line of sight with said mobile station at the time at which a mobile location estimate is made;
  - c. correcting non-line of sight range measurements for a base station identified as non-line of sight with said mobile station in step b to determine reconstructed line of sight range measurements;
  - d. repeating steps a through c for a predetermined number of said base stations; and
  - e. determining said mobile station location estimation from said reconstructed line of sight range measurements determined in step c or said range measurements determined in step a for an identified line of sight base station in step b, or the combination of said reconstructed line of sight range measurements determined in step c, and said range measurements determined in step a for an identified line of sight base station in step b.
  
2. The method of claim 1 wherein step b comprises the steps of:  
obtaining line of sight range measurements between said mobile station and a base station without noise;  
obtaining noisy line of sight range measurements between said mobile station and said base station;

predetermining a first standard deviation of the difference of said line of sight range measurements with said noisy line of sight range measurements, smoothing said range measurements determined in step a; determining a second standard deviation of the difference between said smoothed range measurements and said noisy line of sight range measurements; and discriminating between said base station being line of sight or said base station being non-line of sight from said first standard deviation and said second standard deviation, wherein said base station is determined to be non-line of sight when said second standard deviation is greater than said first standard deviation and line of sight when said second standard deviation is on the order of said first standard deviation.

3. The method of claim 1 wherein the range measurement obtained in step a is represented by:

$$r_m(t_i) = L_m(t_i) + n_m(t_i) + NLOS_m(t_i)$$

for  $m = 1, \dots, M$ ;  $i = 0, \dots, K-1$ , wherein

$L_m(t_i)$  is the LOS distance between a mobile station and the  $m^{\text{th}}$  base station in two dimensions which is given by:

$$L_m(t_i) = |x(t_i) + j * y(t_i) - x_m - j * y_m|;$$

$j = \sqrt{-1}$ ,  $|\cdot|$  is absolute value,

$x(t_i)$ ,  $y(t_i)$  and  $(x_m, y_m)$  are respectively the coordinates of the mobile station at time,  $t_i$ , and those of the  $m^{\text{th}}$  base station;  $n_m(t_i)$  represents conventional measurement noise such as additive white Gaussian measurement noise and  $NLOS_m(t_i)$  represents NLOS measurement error at time  $t_i$ ; and  $M$  is the total number of base stations; and  $K$  is the total number of time samples.

4. The method of claim 3 where the range measurement is smoothed by modeling:

$$r_m(t_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_m(n) t_i^n$$

and solving for the unknown coefficients,  $\{a_m(n)\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$  with a least squares technique.

5. The method of claim 4 wherein the second standard deviation is represented by

$$\hat{\sigma}_m = \sqrt{\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} (s_m(t_i) - r_m(t_i))^2}$$

wherein

$$s_m(t_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \hat{a}_m(n) t_i^n.$$

6. The method of claim 1 wherein step b comprises the steps of:

estimating coordinates of said mobile station from said range measurement

obtained in step a over time;

calculating a range measurement from said estimated coordinates;

determining a residual from the difference of said range measurement obtained in step a and said calculated range measurement;

counting the number of times the residual is the greatest at each base station for each time instant; and

defining said base station as non-line of sight from the base station which has the greatest value of the number of times the greatest residual was counted.

7. The method of claim 6 wherein said estimated coordinates are represented by  $\hat{x}_{LS}(t_i), \hat{y}_{LS}(t_i)$  at each instance of time  $t_i$ , said estimated coordinates are determined as least squares estimates to

$$F_i = \sum_{m=1}^M (r_m(t_i) - \hat{L}_m(t_i))^2$$

where  $\hat{L}_m(t_i) = |\hat{x}(t_i) - x_m + j * \hat{y}(t_i) - j * y_m|$ .

8. The method of claim 1 wherein step c comprises the steps of:  
determining a value of maximum noise deviation and standard deviation from said range measurements obtained in step a and a predetermined line of sight range measurement with negligible noise;

smoothing said range measurements obtained from step a;  
graphing a curve of said smoothed range measurements;  
determining a point of maximum deviation of said range measurement below said curve;

displacing said curve downwards to pass through said point of maximum deviation; and

displacing said curve upwards by said value of said maximum noise deviation, thereby providing said reconstructed range measurement.

9. The method of claim 1 wherein said steps a through c are repeated for at least two base stations and further comprising the step of determining angle arrival information, wherein said mobile station location is estimated from range measurements or reconstructed line of sight range measurements of said two base stations and said angle arrival information.

10. The method of claim 1 wherein steps a through c are repeated for three base stations.

11. A system for mobile station location estimation comprising:  
means for obtaining range measurements between said mobile station and a plurality of base stations;  
identifying means for identifying whether each of said base stations is line of sight with said mobile station as a line of sight base station or non-line of sight with said mobile station as a non-line of sight base station;  
correcting means for correcting said range measurement for each of said non-line of sight base stations to determine a reconstructed line of sight range measurement; and  
estimating means for determining said mobile station location estimation from said reconstructed line of sight range measurements or said range measurements for said line of sight base station, or the combination of said reconstructed line of sight range measurements, and said range measurements for said line of sight base stations.

12. The system of claim 11 wherein said identifying means comprises:

means for obtaining a line of sight range measurement without noise between said mobile station and each of said base station;

means for obtaining a noisy line of sight range measurement between said mobile station and each of said base station;

means for predetermining a first standard deviation of the difference of said line of sight range measurement with said noisy line of sight range measurements,

means for smoothing said range measurements;

means for determining a second standard deviation of the difference between said smoothed range measurements and said noisy line of sight range measurement; and

means for discriminating each of said base stations as being line of sight or being non-line of sight from said first standard deviation and said second standard deviation, wherein said base station is determined to be non-line of sight when said second standard deviation is significantly greater than said first standard deviation and line of sight when said second standard deviation is on the order of said first standard deviation.

13. The system of claim 12 wherein the range measurement is represented by:

$$r_m(t_i) = L_m(t_i) + n_m(t_i) + NLOS_m(t_i)$$

for  $m = 1, \dots, M$   $i = 0, \dots, K-1$ , wherein

$L_m(t_i)$  is the LOS distance between a mobile station and the  $m^{\text{th}}$  base station in two dimensions which is given by:

$$L_m(t_i) = |x(t_i) + j * y(t_i) - x_m - j * y_m|;$$

$j = \sqrt{-1}$ ,  $| |$  is absolute value,

$x(t_i), y(t_i)$  and  $(x_m, y_m)$  are respectively the coordinates of the mobile station at time,  $t_i$ , and those of the  $m^{th}$  base station;  $n_m(t_i)$  represents conventional measurement noise such as additive white Gaussian measurement noise and  $NLOS_m(t_i)$  represents NLOS measurement error at time  $t_i$ ; and  $M$  is the total number of base stations; and  $K$  is the total number of time samples.

14. The system of claim 13 where the range measurement is smoothed by modeling:

$$r_m(t_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_m(n) t_i^n$$

and solving for the unknown coefficients,  $\{a_m(n)\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$  with a least squares technique.

15. The system of claim 14 wherein the second standard deviation is represented by

$$\hat{\sigma}_m = \sqrt{\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} (s_m(t_i) - r_m(t_i))^2}$$

wherein

$$s_m(t_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \hat{a}_m(n) t_i^n.$$

16. The system of claim 12 wherein said identifying means comprises:

means for estimating coordinates of said mobile station from said range measurements from a plurality of base stations received over time;

means for calculating a calculated range measurement from said estimated coordinates;

means for determining a residual from the difference of said range measurements and said calculated range measurement;

means for counting the number of times the residual is the greatest at each base station for each time instant; and

means for defining said base station as non-line of sight from the base station which has the greatest value of the number of times the greatest residual was counted.

17. The system of claim 14 wherein said estimated coordinates are represented by  $\hat{x}_{ls}(t_i), \hat{y}_{ls}(t_i)$  at each instance of time  $t_i$ , said estimated coordinates are determined as least

squares estimates to 
$$F_i = \sum_{m=1}^M (r_m(t_i) - \hat{L}_m(t_i))^2$$

where  $\hat{L}_m(t_i) = |\hat{x}(t_i) - x_m + j \cdot \hat{y}(t_i) - j \cdot y_m|$ .

18. The system of claim 12 wherein said estimating means comprises:

means for determining a value of maximum noise deviation and standard deviation for each of said range measurements and a predetermined line of sight range measurement with negligible noise;

means for smoothing said range measurements;

means for graphing a curve of said smoothed range measurements;  
means for determining a point of maximum deviation of said range measurements  
below said curve;  
means for displacing said curve downwards to pass through said point of  
maximum deviation; and  
means for displacing said curve upwards by said value of said maximum noise  
deviation, thereby providing said reconstructed range measurement.

19. The system of claim 12 further comprises means for obtaining angle arrival  
information wherein said mobile station location is estimated from range measurements or  
reconstructed line of sight range measurement of said base stations and said angle arrival  
information.




FIG 1A




FIG 1B

20

21

Obtain range measurements

22

IDENTIFY IF BASE STATION IS  
LOS OR NLOS

NLOS

FOR

1...M

24

CORRECT NLOS RANGE MEASUREMENT  
TO RECONSTRUCT AS AN LOSRECONSTRUCTED  
LOS

LOS

26

DETERMINE MOBILE LOCATION  
ESTIMATION

FIG. 2




FIG 3




FIG. 4


22

FIG. 5


24

FIG. 6




FIG 7




FIG 8





FIG 9

FIG 10 A



2-D Tracking Error after NLOS Identification and Correction




FIG 10 B

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**